

1 LEO R. BEUS, Admitted pro hac vice  
MALCOLM LOEB, CAL. BAR NO. 130026  
2 BEUS GILBERT PLLC  
4800 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 6000  
3 Scottsdale, AZ 85251  
Telephone: (480) 429-3000  
4 Facsimile: (480) 429-3100

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff

6 JOSEPH F. COYNE, JR., Cal. Bar No. 93707  
MICHELLE SHERMAN, Cal. Bar No. 136799  
7 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor  
8 Los Angeles, California 90071-1448  
Telephone: 213-620-1780  
9 Facsimile: 213-620-1398  
jcoyne@smrh.com  
10 msherman@smrh.com

11 Attorneys for Defendants  
FORT JAMES CORPORATION  
12 AND RELATED ENTITIES

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
15

16 JEFFREY H. BECK, Liquidating  
17 Trustee of the Crown Paper Liquidating  
Trust,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 FORT JAMES CORPORATION;  
21 FORT JAMES OPERATING  
COMPANY; FORT JAMES FIBER  
22 COMPANY; FORT JAMES  
INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LTD;  
23 AND MCGUIREWOODS, LLP,

24 Defendants.

Case No. C 05-0798 MMC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
CHANGE HEARING DATE**

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

1           The following stipulation is entered into between., Jeffrey H. Beck,  
2 Liquidating Trustee ("Plaintiff"), and Fort James Operating Company, Fort James  
3 Fiber Company, and Fort James International Holdings, Ltd., (collectively, "Fort  
4 James Defendants").

5  
6           WHEREAS, the Fort James Defendants' Motion for Summary  
7 Judgment, including Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment, which is fully  
8 briefed, has been noticed for hearing on Friday, November 17, 2006,

9  
10          WHEREAS, the Fort James Defendants have filed Motions for  
11 Summary Judgment on Counts I and II of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint in  
12 the related action, *Crown Paper Company v. Fort James Corporation*, Case No. C  
13 02-3838 MMC. which have been noticed for hearing on Friday, December 15, 2006;  
14 and

15  
16          WHEREAS the parties, having conferred, believe that it would be most  
17 productive and efficient to have any oral argument relating to these motions heard at  
18 the same time;

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS:  
2

3 1. Argument on the Motion and Cross-Motion for Summary  
4 Judgment in this action shall be heard on Friday, December 15, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.  
5

6 DATED: November 10, 2006

7 BEUS GILBERT PLLC  
8

9 By /s/ Malcolm Loeb

10 Malcolm Loeb  
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff

12 DATED: November 10, 2006

13 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
14

15 By /s/ Kenneth A. O'Brien, Jr.


16 Kenneth A. O'Brien, Jr.  
17 Attorneys for Fort James Defendants

18 Good cause appearing,  
19

20 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:  
21

22 1. The hearing on the Motion for Summary Judgment in this action  
23 is continued from November 17, 2006 to December 15, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.

24 DATED: November 14, 2006  
25

26   
27 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney  
28 United States District Judge